FLOW OF A BINARY GAS MIXTURE WITH ARBITRARY ACCOMMODATION OF THE TANGENTIAL MOMENTUM

N. D. Pochuev, V. D. Seleznev, and P. E. Suetin

UDC 533.6.011.8

The system of BGK (Bhatnagar, Gross, Krook) equations describing the isothermal flow of a binary gas mixture in a capillary with arbitrary accommodation of the tangential momentum is solved by the Bubnov-Galerkin method. General expressions are given for the kinetic thermodynamic coefficients which are valid in the whole range of Knudsen numbers and have the correct free-molecule and viscous limits. The diffusion-slip coefficients, calculated by using test values of the fraction of diffuse reflection, are compared with the experimental results.

A number of phenomena, such as diffusophoresis and mixture separation in a flow, exists in rarefied gasdynamics, which cannot be described by classical hydrodynamics based on the solution of the Boltzmann equation by the Chapman-Enskog and Grad methods even in the viscous mode limit. Only the solution of the Boltzmann equation and its models with the boundary conditions substituted for the distribution functions permits the regularity of these phenomena to be obtained.

The question of the influence of gas-molecule interaction with a surface, in fluxes caused by pressure and concentration inhomogeneities of a binary gas mixture in a capillary under arbitrary rarefaction, is examined from this viewpoint in this paper. The generalized thermodynamic fluxes are determined as functions of the Knudsen number Kn from the solution of a system of model BGK equations with Maxwell boundary conditions. In the viscous mode limit, the kinetic coefficients describing Poiseuille flow and mutual diffusion are independent of the details of gas-molecule interaction with the surface, while the cross coefficients (the barodiffusion constant and the diffusion-slip coefficient) are substantially governed by this interaction.

Let us examine the isothermal flow of a binary gas mixture in a long capillary of radius R. The density gradients of the first and second components are small and directed along the z axis. The mixture flow is described by the system of BGK equations

$$\mathbf{v}_{i} \nabla f_{i} = \mathbf{v}_{ii} (M_{i} - f_{i}) + \mathbf{v}_{ij} (M_{ij} - f_{i})$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{j} \nabla f_{j} = \mathbf{v}_{jj} (M_{j} - f_{j}) + \mathbf{v}_{ji} (M_{ji} - f_{j})$$
(1)

where M_i , M_{ij} are linear decompositions of the locally Maxwellian distribution functions, $v_i (v_{iz}, v_{ir}, v_{i\phi})$ is the molecule-velocity vector of the i-th component, v_{ij} , v_{ii} are the frequencies of the cross self-collisions, and f_i is the molecule-distribution function of the i-th component.

Let us take the boundary conditions for the distribution function as

$$f_i(v_{iz}, v_{ir}, v_{i\varphi}) = \epsilon_i M_i + (1 - \epsilon_i) f_i(v_{iz}, -v_{ir}, v_{i\varphi})$$

$$\tag{2}$$

where ε_i is the fraction of the molecules reflected diffusely from the wall.

Generalizing the results of [1, 2], the following system of integral equations for the velocities of the components can be obtained by integrating the system (1) along the characteristics and using (2):

Sverdlovsk. Translated from Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, No. 5, pp. 37-41, September-October, 1974. Original article submitted January 22, 1974.

©1976 Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

$$u_{iz}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left\{ \left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon_{i}) \exp\left(-\frac{v_{i}l}{v_{i}}\right) \right]^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon_{i}) \int_{0}^{l} \right\}$$
(3)

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{v_{i}(l + \xi - \xi')}{v_{i}} \right] \Lambda_{i}(r') d\xi' + \int_{0}^{\xi} \exp\left[-\frac{v_{i}(\xi - \xi')}{v_{i}} \right] \Lambda_{i}(r') d\xi' \right\} \exp\left[-\frac{m_{i}v_{i}^{2}}{2kT} \right] dv_{i} d\varphi$$
$$\Lambda_{i}(r) = \frac{m_{i}}{kT} \left[u_{iz}(1 - \alpha_{i}) + u_{jz}\alpha_{i} - \frac{k_{i}}{v_{i}} \frac{kT}{m_{i}} \right]$$
$$\alpha_{i} = \delta \frac{m_{j}}{m_{0}} \frac{v_{i}}{v_{n} + v_{i}}, \quad k_{i} = -\frac{1}{n_{i}} \frac{\partial n_{i}}{\partial z}, \quad m_{0} = m_{i} + m_{j}$$
$$r' = \left[R^{2} + \xi'^{2} - 2\xi' l \right]^{1}, \quad v_{i} = v_{ii} + v_{ij}$$
$$l = 2\sqrt{R^{2} - r^{2} \sin^{2} \varphi}, \quad \xi = l/2 + r \cos \varphi$$

Here m_i is the mass of the i-th component molecule, T is the absolute temperature, δ is a cross-collision parameter (usually $\delta = \frac{5}{3}$), and n_i is the density of the number of i-th component molecules.

An analogous equation is obtained for the j-th component by mutual replacement of the subscripts $i \neq j$.

The system (3) is solved by the Bubnov-Galerkin method with a quadratic trial function. It is convenient to represent the result of the solution as expressions for the thermodynamic kinetic coefficients which can be measured experimentally. According to the thermodynamics of irreversible processes, the generalized fluxes corresponding to the generalized forces $X_1 = \partial p/\partial z$ and $X_2 = p\partial c_1/\partial z$ are written as follows:

$$J_{1} = c_{i} \langle u_{iz} \rangle + c_{j} \langle u_{jz} \rangle = -L_{11}X_{1} - L_{12}X_{2}$$

$$J_{2} = \langle u_{iz} \rangle - \langle u_{jz} \rangle = -L_{21}X_{1} - L_{22}X_{2}$$
(4)
(5)

where L_{11} , L_{12} , L_{21} , L_{22} are kinetic coefficients, $\langle u_{1Z} \rangle$ is the mean velocity of the i-th component over the capillary cross section, and

$$c_i = n_i/n, \quad n = n_i + n_j, \quad p = nkT$$

Solution of the system (3) permits finding the kinetic coefficients introduced according to (4) and (5):

$$\begin{split} L_{11} &= (n_j m_j v_j a_i \Delta)^{-1} \left\{ -\pi \left[a_j \beta_i \gamma_j (a_i - c_i)^2 + a_j \beta_j \gamma_i (a_j - c_i)^2 \right] \\ &+ \pi^2 a_i a_j \left[(a_i - c_j) \gamma_i' \gamma_j + (a_j - c_i) \gamma_j' \gamma_i \right] + \frac{\pi^3}{12} a_i a_j (a_i \gamma_j + a_j \gamma_i) \\ &+ \frac{\pi^2}{12} \left[\beta_i a_i^2 (c_i^2 - a_i) + \beta_j a_i^2 (c_i^2 - a_j) \right] - \frac{\pi^2}{12} a_i a_j (a_i + \varkappa_j) c_i c_j \\ &- \left[c_j a_j (a_i - c_j) + c_i a_i (a_j - c_i) \right] \left[\theta \beta_i \beta_j + \pi (a_j \beta_j \gamma_i' + a_j \beta_j \gamma_j') \right] \right\} \\ L_{21} = L_{12} = (n_j m_j v_j a_j \Delta)^{-1} \left[\theta \beta_i \beta_j (c_j a_i - c_j a_j) + \pi^2 a_i a_j (\gamma_i' \gamma_j - \gamma_i \gamma_j') \\ &+ \pi \theta (a_j \beta_i \gamma_j, c_i - a_j \beta_j \gamma_i c_i) - \frac{\pi}{12} (c_i a_i - c_j a_j) (a_j \beta_i C_{j2}^2 + a_i \beta_j C_{j2}^2) \\ &+ \frac{\pi^2}{12} (a_i^2 \beta_j c_i - a_j^2 \beta_i c_j) + \frac{\pi^2}{12} a_i a_j (\varkappa_i c_i - \varkappa_j c_j) \right] \\ L_{22} = \frac{D_{ii}}{c_i c_j p} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\theta \beta_i \beta_j}{\Delta} + \frac{\pi}{12\Delta} (\beta_i a_j C_{j2}^j + \beta_j a_i C_{22}^j) \right\} \\ \gamma_i = C_{12}^i - C_{11}^{i} - 1/4 C_{22}^i, \quad \gamma_i' = \gamma_i - 1/{12} C_{12}^i \\ \beta_i = (C_{12}^{i})^2 - C_{11}^{i} C_{22}^i, \quad \delta_i = \sqrt{\frac{m_i}{2k_i t'}} v_i R \\ \varkappa_i = C_{22}^{i} (1/2 C_{12}^{i} - C_{11}^{i}) - C_{12}^{i} (1/2 C_{22}^{i} - C_{13}^{i}) \\ \Delta = \theta^2 \beta_i \beta_j + \pi a_i \theta_j \gamma_i' + \pi a_i \theta_{\beta_i} \gamma_i' - \frac{\pi}{12} a_i a_i (\varkappa_i + \varkappa_j) - \frac{\pi^3}{12} (a_i^2 \beta_j + a_j^2 \beta_i) \\ C_{11}^{i} = \frac{8 \sqrt{\pi}}{3\delta_i^4} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} + \frac{\pi (1 - 2\varepsilon_i)}{\delta_i^2} + \frac{8\pi}{\delta_i^4} (1 - \varepsilon_i) \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_i)^{k-1} \left\{ 8I_1^{\circ} (k\delta_i) \left[\varepsilon_i^2 k - \frac{8}{3} \varepsilon_i (2 - \varepsilon_i)^2 k - \frac{8\varepsilon_i^2 k^3}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{8\varepsilon_i^2 k^3}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{2\varepsilon_i (2 - \varepsilon_i)}{\delta_i^2} + \frac{2(2 - \varepsilon_i)^2 k}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{8\varepsilon_i^2 k^3}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{8\varepsilon_i^2 (2 - \varepsilon_i) k}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{8\varepsilon_i^2 k^2}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{\varepsilon_i (2 - \varepsilon_i) k}{\delta_i^2} + \frac{12}{\delta_i^2} + \frac{12}{\delta_i^2} - \frac{\varepsilon_i (2 - \varepsilon_i) k}{\delta_i} \\ + \frac{8\varepsilon_i k_j}{\delta_i^4} - \frac{8\varepsilon_i (2 - \varepsilon_i)}{\delta_i^4} - \frac{12}{\delta_i^4} + \frac{12}{\delta_i^4} - \frac{12}{\delta_i^4$$

$$+\frac{2(2-\epsilon_{i})^{2}k^{2}}{\delta_{i}} + \frac{4\epsilon_{i}^{2}k^{2}}{\delta_{i}^{3}} - \frac{4\epsilon_{i}(2-\epsilon_{i})k}{\delta_{i}^{3}}\right] + 32I_{1}^{2}(k\delta_{i})$$

$$\times \left[\frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{i}(2-\epsilon_{i})k^{2} + \frac{40}{3}\frac{\epsilon_{i}(2-\epsilon_{i})k^{2}}{\delta_{i}^{2}} + \frac{(2-\epsilon_{i})^{2}k}{\delta_{i}^{2}} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{\epsilon_{i}^{2}k^{3}}{\delta_{i}^{2}}\right]\right\}$$

$$C_{12}^{i} = \frac{4}{3\delta_{i}}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\epsilon_{i}} - \frac{\pi\epsilon_{i}}{\delta_{i}^{2}} - 8\epsilon_{i}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-\epsilon_{i})^{k-1}\left\{I_{1}^{\circ}(k\delta_{i})\left[\frac{2-\epsilon_{i}}{\delta_{i}^{2}} - \frac{2\epsilon_{i}k^{2}}{\delta_{i}^{2}}\right]\right\}$$

$$(10)$$

$$-\epsilon_{i}k - \frac{2\epsilon_{i}k}{\delta_{i}^{2}} + \frac{4(2-\epsilon_{i})k^{2}}{3}\right] - \frac{4(2-\epsilon_{i})k^{2}}{3}I_{1}^{2}(k\delta_{i}) + I_{0}^{1}(k\delta_{i})\left[\frac{(2-\epsilon_{i})k}{\delta_{i}} - \frac{2\epsilon_{i}k^{2}}{\delta_{i}}\right]\right\}$$

$$C_{22}^{i} = 8\epsilon_{i}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-\epsilon_{i})^{k-1}kI_{1}^{\circ}(k\delta_{i})$$

$$(11)$$

$$I_{n}^{m}(\delta) = \int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{\infty}x^{m}\sqrt{1-x^{2}}y^{n}\exp\left(-y^{2} - \frac{2\delta x}{y}\right)dx\,dy$$

These thermodynamic coefficients describe Poiseuille flow (L_{11}) , diffusion slip (L_{12}) , barodiffusion (L_{24}) and mutual diffusion (L_{22}) , respectively, for any rarefaction. The equality of the cross-kinetic coefficient, proved in [1] for completely diffuse molecule reflection by the capillary walls, is conserved for arbitrary accommodation of the tangential momentum.

In the free-molecule limit, (9)-(11) can be summed exactly, and the coefficients L_{11} , L_{12} , L_{21} , L_{22} become

$$\begin{split} L_{11} &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{R}{p} \left(c_i v_{li} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} + c_j v_{lj} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j} \right) \\ L_{22} &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{R}{p} \left(\frac{v_{li}}{c_i} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} + \frac{v_{lj}}{c_j} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j} \right) \\ L_{12} &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{R}{p} \left(v_{li} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_i} - v_{lj} \frac{2 - \varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j} \right) \end{split}$$

where $v_{ti} = (8kT/\pi m_i)^{1/2}$ is the mean thermal velocity of the molecules. These expressions agree with the results derived from the elementary Knudsen formula for free-molecule gas flow.

The viscous limit of the general solution is of interest in an analysis of the problem posed, since it yields the limits of applicability of the Chapman-Enskog procedure for solving the problem. Assuming the inverse Knudsen numbers to be δ_i , $\delta_j \rightarrow \infty$ in (6)-(8), we obtain

$$L_{11} = \frac{R^2}{8\eta} - \frac{\alpha_i}{n_j m_j v_j} \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \delta_i \delta_j}{\alpha_i \delta_i \varepsilon_j - \alpha_j \delta_j \varepsilon_i} + \frac{\delta_i \delta_j \left[\alpha_i \delta_i^{-3} \left(2 - \varepsilon_j\right) - \alpha_j \delta_j^{-2} \left(2 - \varepsilon_i\right)\right]}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(\alpha_j \delta_j^{-2} - \alpha_i \delta_i^{-2}\right)^2} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \delta_i \delta_j \left(\alpha_i \delta_i^{-2} \varepsilon_j - \alpha_j \delta_j^{-2} \left(1 - \varepsilon_j\right) - \alpha_j \delta_j^{-2} \left(4 - \varepsilon_i\right)\right)}{4 \left(\alpha_i \delta_i^{-2} - \alpha_j \delta_j^{-2} \left(\alpha_i \delta_i \varepsilon_j - \alpha_j \delta_j \varepsilon_i\right)} \right\}$$
(12)

$$L_{22} = \frac{D_{ij}}{P e_i e_j} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{x_i \delta_i}{e_i} + \frac{x_j \delta_j}{e_j} \right)^{-1} \right]$$
(13)
$$L_{12} = \frac{z D_{ij}}{P e_i e_j}, \quad L_{11} = \frac{x_p D_{ij}}{P e_i e_j}$$
(14)

$$L_{12} = \frac{z D_{ij}}{p}, \quad L_{21} = \frac{x_p D_{ij}}{p}$$
 (14)

$$a_{p} = 5 = \frac{\varepsilon_{j} V m_{j} v_{j} (c_{i} - \varepsilon_{i} / 2) - \varepsilon_{i} V m_{i} v_{i} (c_{j} - \varepsilon_{j} / 2)}{(c_{i} v_{j} - c_{j} v_{i}) (c_{i} \varepsilon_{j} V m_{j} + c_{i} \varepsilon_{i} V m_{i})} + \frac{c_{j} \varepsilon_{i} V m_{j} v_{i} - c_{i} \varepsilon_{i} V m_{i} v_{j}}{(c_{i} v_{i} - c_{i} v_{i}) (c_{i} \varepsilon_{i} V m_{i} - c_{i} \varepsilon_{i} V m_{i})}$$

$$(15)$$

 $(c_i v_j + c_j v_i) (c_j \varepsilon_i \bigvee m_j + c_i \varepsilon_i \bigvee m_i)$ where α_p is the barodiffusion constant, σ is the diffusion-slip coefficient, $D_{ij} = kTm_0 c_j / m_i m_j \delta v_{ij}$ is the mutual-diffusion coefficient, and $\eta = p[c_i/\nu_i + c_i/\nu_i]$ is the mixture viscosity.

All the kinetic coefficients have a correction term proportional to the Knudsen number (Kn) in the mode with slip. Because of its awkwardness, the correction term for the cross coefficients is not presented.

A comparison with the classical solution of the Boltzmann equation shows that the coefficients L_{11} and L_{22} are the same, while the cross coefficient $L_{21} = L_{12}$ differs in principle from that obtained by the Grad method [3], by a dependence on the details of interaction with the channel walls.

The possibility of such a dependence in the viscous-mode limit is associated with the fact that second-order viscous slip (~Kn²), which is commensurate in magnitude to the diffusion velocities and differ-

TABLE 1. Diffusion-Slip Coefficients σ

	He-Ar	H2-D2	H2-Ar	H2—He	He—Ne	Ne—Ar	H2—Ne	Ar–CO2	He—D ₂
$\sigma (15) \sigma (\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_j = 1) Experimential \sigma$	0.607 0.555 1.04 [4]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.265 \\ 0.260 \\ 0.334 \\ [4] \end{array}$	0.898 0.944 1.36 [4]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.340 \\ 0.374 \\ 0.46 \\ [4] \end{array}$	0.519 0.514 0.74 [4]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.143 \\ 0.0823 \\ 0.34 \\ [4] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.875 \\ 0.905 \\ 1.22 \\ [4] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0727 \\ -0.0807 \\ -0.026 \\ [5] \end{array}$	$-0.0541 \\ -0.0925 \\ -0.063 \\ [5]$

ent for distinct components, yields a contribution to the characteristic diffusion velocities. The rate of gas mixing, which is characterized by the mutual-diffusion coefficient, is independent of the collision frequency of like molecules and of details of molecule interaction with the capillary walls, but is governed completely by the cross-collision frequency.

The influence of incomplete accommodation of the tangential momentum affects the coefficient L_{22} in just the mode with slip, where the form of this dependence permits extraction of information about molecule interaction with the surface from experimental results on diffusion in rarefied gases.

It follows from (15) that mixture separation and a diffusion pressure effect will occur in the viscous mode even in a mixture whose component molecules will be different just by the accommodation coefficients of the tangential momentum. The diffusion-slip coefficients σ , computed by means of (15), are compared in the table with the experimental results of different authors. The accommodation coefficients of the tangential momentum which are needed for the calculation, were taken from [6], where they have been determined from Poiseuille flow tests.

The agreement between the experimental and computed coefficients is qualitatively satisfactory. As a rule, the calculated values are lowered. Taking account of incomplete accommodation brings the experimental and theoretical results closer together. Gas vapors with similar masses and high fractions of specular reflection are particularly responsive to the details of molecule interaction with a surface.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. V. D. Seleznev and P. E. Suetin, "Diffusion baroeffect in a capillary in a broad range of Knudsen numbers," Zh. Prikl. Mekhan. Tekh. Fiz., No. 2 (1973).
- 2. P. E. Suetin, B. T. Porodnov, V. G. Chernjak, and S. F. Borisov, "Poiseuille flow at arbitrary Knudsen numbers and tangential momentum accommodation," J. Fluid Mech., <u>60</u>, No. 3 (1973).
- 3. V. Zhdanov, Yu. Kagan, and A. Sazykin, "Influence of viscous momentum transfer on diffusion in a gas mixture," Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz., 42, No. 3 (1962).
- 4. P. E. Suetin, "Molecular diffusion in rarefied gases," Doctoral Dissertation, Sverdlovsk (1969).
- 5. L. Waldman and K. H. Schmitt, "Uber das bei der gasdiffusion auftretende druckgefalle," Z. Naturforsch., 16a, No. 12 (1961).
- 6. S. F. Borisov, "Experimental investigation of isothermal and nonisothermal rarefied gas flows," Candidate's Dissertation, Sverdlovsk (1973).